

1
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JULY, TWO THOUSAND AND SEVENTEEN

: PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO

CRLP No. 3886 of 2017

Between:-

Pamarathi Sandeep Bhavan, S/o. Veerabhadra Rao

..... Petitioner/Accused No.1.

AND

The State of A.P., Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/Complainant.

Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. praying that the High Court may be pleased to enlarge on bail to the Petitioner/Accused No.1 in the event of his arrest by the Police in Crime No. 33/2017 registered by Women Police Station, Ongole or Voluntary surrender before the III Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class at Ongole for investigation and trail on such terms and conditions.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the memorandum of grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Nagaraju Naguru, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Public Prosecutor(AP) for the Respondent-State, the Court made the following

ORDER :-


Criminal Petition No.3886 of 2017

ORDER:

In this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the petitioner/A.1 seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.33 of 2017 of Ongole Women PS, Prakasham District which was registered against the petitioner and other accused for the offences under Sec.3 & 4 of D.P Act and Sec.498-A IPC.

2) Denying the allegations made in the complaint, learned counsel for petitioner would submit that the petitioner never harassed the complainant and it is also false to allege that he did not give amounts to her for her maintenance. By producing the copies of the bank statements, learned counsel tried to point out that the petitioner used to send amounts periodically to the complainant. He would submit that at present the petitioner is working in Mahindra Satyam Company at Bangalore and the *defacto* complainant is a Dubbing Artist in Telugu Film Industry at Hyderabad and she did not like to shift to Bangalore and therefore, she gave complaint with false allegations.

3) It is brought to the notice of the Court that A.2 and A.3 were already granted bail. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case on hand, this petition is allowed. The petitioner/A.1 is directed to surrender before the III Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Ongole on or before 25.07.2017 and on such surrender, he shall be enlarged on bail on his executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with two sureties each for like sum


id. h.

to the satisfaction of the said Court. The petitioner is directed to deposit his Passport with the III Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Ongole and he shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court. He is further directed to cooperate with the investigating agency for smooth completion of investigation.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- M. MANJULA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

for ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1. The I Additional Sessions Judge, Ongole, Prakasam District.
2. The III Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Ongole, Prakasam District.
3. The Station House Officer, Women Police Station, Ongole, Prakasam District.
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor(AP), High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. One CC to Sri Nagaraju Naguru, Advocate(OPUC)
6. One spare copy.

TKK