

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 369/1996 & CM No.15083/2014 & 48265/2016**

KUSUM SHARMA Appellant

Through: Ms. Babita Seth, Adv.

versus

MAHINDER KUMAR SHARMA Respondent

Through: Ms. Jyoti Dutt Sharma, Mr. Rahul Sharma, Mr. C.K. Bhatt, Advs.
Mr. Sunil Mittal, Sr. Adv. as amicus curiae with Mr. Dhruv Grover, Adv.
Ms. Anu Narula, Adv. as amicus curiae.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.R. MIDHA

ORDER

% **24.05.2017**

CM No.48265/2016

Mr. Rishi Vashisht, son and Ms. Geetika Kapoor, daughter of the parties have filed their affidavits in which they have deposed that they do not want to pursue this appeal. In view of the above statement, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant does not press this application. The application is dismissed as withdrawn.

FAO 369/1996

1. The appellant has expired on 26th September, 2016 and her legal representatives are not interested to pursue this appeal. This appeal therefore, abates.
2. Vide order judgement 14th January, 2015, this Court had formulated the affidavit of assets, income and expenditure to be filed by the parties in all maintenance cases.

3. Mr. Sunil Mittal, learned amicus curiae submits that this affidavit be made mandatory in cases under the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890 and Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956. Mr. Sunil Mittal, learned amicus curiae has given the suggestions for modification of the format.
4. Ms. Anu Narula, learned amicus curiae seeks three days time to submit the suggestions.
5. List for consideration on 29th May, 2017.

MAY 24, 2017
ak

J.R. MIDHA, J.